therefore—(1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

#### The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

# PART 71—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 71 continue to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. app. 1348(a), 1354(a), 1510; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp. p. 389; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 14 CFR 11.69.

# §71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9B, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated July 18, 1994, and effective September 16, 1994, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6010(a)—Domestic VOR Federal Airways

V-514 [New]

From Mission Bay, CA; INT Mission Bay 091°T(076°M) and Julian, CA, 185°T(170°M) radials; Julian; Thermal, CA; Twentynine Palms, CA; INT Twentynine Palms 043°T(028°M) and Goffs, CA, 200°T(185°M) radials; Goffs; INT Goffs 033°T(018°M) and Boulder City, NV, 165°T(150°M) radials; Boulder City.

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 7, 1995.

### Nancy B. Kalinowski,

Acting Manager, Airspace-Rules and Aeronautical Information Division.
[FR Doc. 95–9402 Filed 4–14–95; 8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity

#### 24 CFR Part 120

[Docket No. R-95-1726; FR-3593-P-01]

# RIN 2529-AA71

# Community Housing Resource Board Program

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity, HUD.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This proposed rule would end the Community Housing Resource Board (CHRB) Program at 24 CFR part 120. The purpose of the proposed rule is to eliminate a program determined to be duplicative and unnecessary.

**DATES:** Comments due date: June 16, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposed rulemaking to the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of General Counsel, Room 10278, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20410. Communications should refer to the above docket number and title. A copy of each communication submitted will be available for public inspection and copying between 7:30 a.m. and 5:30 p.m. weekdays at the above address. Facimile (FAX) comments are not acceptable.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Maxine Cunningham, Acting Director, Office of Fair Housing Assistance and Voluntary Programs, Room 5234, 451 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20410–2000. Telephone number (202) 708–0800. A telecommunications device (TDD) for hearing and speech impaired persons is available at (202) 708–0455. (These are not toll-free numbers.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The CHRB Program was established in 1982 at 24 CFR part 120, under authority of Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 3601). The purpose of the program is stated, at 24 CFR 120.5, as:

The purpose of the Community Housing Resource Board Program is to provide funding to Community Housing Resource Boards (Resource Boards) that have the responsibility of providing program implementation assistance to housing industry groups that have signed Voluntary Affirmative Marketing Agreements (VAMA) with HUD. The intent of the program is to fulfill HUD's contractual agreement to provide technical assistance to local real

estate boards in achieving VAMA goals by supporting projects that improve Resource Board performance and increase their ability to effectively plan, finance, and carry out activities to assist signatory real estate boards in fully implementing the provisions of the VAMA.

Through Fiscal Year 1990, \$15.274 million was appropriated and disbursed to CHRBs under a competitive grant process. Approximately 25–50 CHRBs were funded each year. In order to be eligible, projects must have been directed at one or more of the following areas:

- (1) Making information public regarding the goals of fair housing and the VAMA;
- (2) Assessing community fair housing needs;
- (3) Assessing the effectiveness of the VAMA;
- (4) Expanding minority involvement in the industry;
- (5) Expanding public awareness of housing opportunities in the community; and
- (6) Developing cooperative solutions to problems associated with the implementation of the VAMA.

ĈHRB projects include developing brochures, videos, and other outreach materials; conducting fair housing seminars and conferences to inform realtors and other housing industry professionals as well as the general public of their rights and responsibilities under the Fair Housing Law; school poster contests where children's art work depicts "Fair Housing for All"; and scholarship programs to attract minorities into the real estate profession.

However, no funds have been appropriated for the Community Housing Resource Board (CHRB) Program since Fiscal Year (FY) 1990. There are several reasons for this. One reason is that another source of funding for the same activities was made available. The Fair Housing Initiatives Program (FHIP), established in 1987, includes an Education and Outreach Initiative. This Initiative funds education and outreach projects designed to inform members of the public concerning their rights and obligations under the provisions of fair housing laws. As private, non-profit organizations, CHRBs are eligible for funding under the FHIP.

Another reason concerns
modifications to VAMAs since the
initiation of the CHRB Program. In 1991
HUD began negotiations with the
National Association of Realtors (NAR)
for a revised VAMA. One of the major
changes proposed by NAR was the
elimination of the requirement to utilize

CHRBs for monitoring and implementation of VAMA activity. The new VAMA, signed by the Secretary of HUD and the NAR President in June 1992, deletes this requirement. The VAMA provides instead that local Realtor Boards "formulate relationships with civil rights, fair housing, and other community based organizations with a substantial interest in fair housing as a conduit to meet fair housing and VAMA objectives." While some local Boards continue to work closely with established CHRBs in various fair housing activities, the Department no longer has a contractual agreement to support VAMA implementation activities through CHRBs.

Based on the foregoing, and as part of the renewed effort to eliminate duplicative and/or unnecessary programs, the Department has determined that the elimination of the CHRB Program is appropriate. To accomplish this end, this proposed rule would remove and reserve 24 CFR part 120.

# **Findings and Certifications**

#### Environmental Review

A Finding of No Significant Impact with respect to the environment has been made in accordance with HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 50, which implement section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. The Finding of No Significant Impact is available for public inspection between 7:30 a.m. and 5:30 p.m. weekdays in the Office of the Rules Docket Clerk.

#### Impact on Small Entities

The Secretary, in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)), has reviewed this proposed rule before publication and by approving it certifies that this proposed rule does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The purpose of the proposed rule is to eliminate a program determined to be duplicative and unnecessary.

# Regulatory Agenda

This proposed rule was listed as Item No. 1865 in the Department's Semiannual Agenda of Regulations published on November 14, 1994 (59 FR 57632, 57667) pursuant to Executive Order 12866 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

#### Federalism Impact

The General Counsel, as the Designated Official under section 6(a) of Executive Order 12612, *Federalism*, has determined that because this proposed rule would eliminate a program

determined to be duplicative and unnecessary, the policies contained in this proposed rule will not have substantial direct effects on states or their political subdivisions, or the relationship between the federal government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. As a result, the proposed rule is not subject to review under the order.

#### Impact on the Family

The General Counsel, as the Designated Official under Executive Order 12606, *The Family*, has determined that this proposed rule does not have the potential for direct impact on family formation, maintenance, and general well-being. The proposed rule would only eliminate a program determined to be duplicative and unnecessary, and as such, no further review is considered necessary.

# List of Subjects in 24 CFR Part 120

Fair housing, Grant programs—housing and community development.

Accordingly, and under the authority of 42 U.S.C. 3535(d), chapter I of title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations would be amended by removing and reserving part 120.

Dated: May 3, 1994.

### Roberta Achtenberg,

Assistant Secretary for Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity.

[FR Doc. 95–9329 Filed 4–14–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4210–28–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# Occupational Safety and Health Administration

29 CFR Parts 1910, 1915, and 1926

[Docket No. H-049]

RIN 1218-0099

#### Respiratory Protection; Proposed Rule

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Extension of date for filing of comments by testifiers.

SUMMARY: By this document the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) is extending the date for testifiers at the OSHA hearings to submit comments on the proposed rule from April 14, 1995 to May 15, 1995, in order to provide additional time for those testifiers submitting comments.

DATES: Testimony, comments and evidence to be submitted at the hearings must be postmarked on or before May 15, 1995. Notices of intention to appear at the hearing were due March 31, 1995. Comments for testifiers must be postmarked on or before May 15, 1995. The hearing will begin at 9:30 a.m., Tuesday, June 6, 1995 in Washington, DC.

ADDRESSES: Testimony and documentary evidence are to be submitted in quadruplicate to: Mr. Thomas Hall, OSHA Division of Consumer Affairs, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room N3649, Washington, D.C. 20210; (202) 219–8615. Testimony and documentary evidence will be available for inspection and copying in the Docket Office, Room N2625 at the above address.

Written comments should be submitted in quadruplicate or 1 original (hardcopy) and 1 disk (5½ or 3½) in WordPerfect 5.0, 5.1, 6.0 or ASCII to: Docket Office, Docket H–049, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N2625, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20210; (202) 219–7894. Any information not contained on disk, e.g., studies, articles, etc., must be submitted in quadruplicate.

The hearing will be held in the auditorium of the U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Proposal: Mr. Richard Liblong, Director, Office of Information and Consumer Affairs, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room N3647, Washington, D.C. 20210; (202) 219–8151.

Hearings: Mr. Thomas Hall, Division of Consumer Affairs, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room N3649, Washington, D.C. 20210; (202) 219–8615.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

On November 15, 1994, OSHA published a notice of proposed rulemaking on its respiratory protection standard (59 FR 58884 *et seq.*). The proposal is intended to update the current respirator standard to reflect changes in methodology, technology, and approach related to respiratory protection that have occurred since the existing respiratory protection standard was adopted in 1971.